



Existential Dimensions of Apocalypse: An Existentialist Analysis of Jack Hunt's *Agora Virus Tetralogy*

G. S. Vimal Gnanamani, Research Scholar, Department of English, Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education, Krishnankovil, Tamil Nadu, India.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0002-0824-423X>

Dr. C. Jothi, Assistant Professor, Department of English, Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education, Krishnankovil, Tamil Nadu, India.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5039-032X>

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.23350406

Abstract

Literary criticism has been slow to take popular pandemic fiction seriously as a vehicle for existentialist thought. This article addresses that gap through a close reading of Jack Hunt's four-book Agora Virus series: Phobia (2017), Anxiety (2017), Strain (2017), and Unstable (2018). The study draws on Sartre's account of freedom and bad faith, Camus's notion of the absurd, and Heidegger's being-toward-death for the analysis to trace ex-Marine Frank Talbot's movement from a life narrowed by agoraphobia toward something closer to authentic engagement with the people around him. At the centre of the argument is a dark ironic reversal. Talbot's fear of germs, which has already cost him his marriage and strained his bond with his daughter Ella, proves justified when the H9N3 virus makes the world as dangerous as he always feared. Such vindication brings him no comfort and the survival instead forces him into precisely the relationships his condition was meant to keep him from. The three main interpretive ideals structure the argument of the paper. Talbot's move out of isolation follows Heidegger's claim that confronting one's finitude loosens the anonymous crowd's grip. The impossible decision forced on the survivors - whom to save, whether to kill, and how far an obligation can be stretched to stage Sartrean responsibility under the harshest conditions imaginable. The community that forms around Talbot enacts something close to Camus's revolt on the meaning without any guarantee it will be rewarded. Nevertheless, Hunt's tetralogy belongs within the wider post-COVID reassessment of pandemic writing as a serious philosophical resource and the case extends further still as a genre fiction of this kind can deliver existentialist thought to readers who would never pick up Sartre or Heidegger directly.

Keywords: Existentialism, Literary Criticism, Pandemic Fiction, Popular Fiction, Philosophy.

Introduction

In the modern scenario, stories of social catastrophe are everywhere today on television and streaming platforms, on bestseller lists and are found in the genre of climate fiction. However, the theme itself is far from new. Three centuries ago, Daniel Defoe wrote about plague in the year 1722, Two centuries ago, Mary Shelley imagined the last human in the year 1826 and in the last century, Camus turned an epidemic into a philosophical allegory in the year 1947. What has changed is the reluctance of criticism to treat pandemic fiction with the same seriousness once it becomes genuinely popular. Emily St. John Mandel's *Station Eleven* (Mandel, 2014) and Ling Ma's *Severance* (Ma, 2018) have found a comfortable home in scholarly discussion, while the commercial survival novel has not as



though strong sales were themselves. Jack Hunt's Agora Virus novels, *Phobia* (2017), *Anxiety* (2017), *Strain* (2017), and *Unstable* (2018), belong to that category (Hunt, 2017a, 2017b, 2017c and 2018). The central figure of the series *Phobia* (2017), *Anxiety* (2017), *Strain* (2017) is the ex-Marine named Frank Talbot. He has allowed excessive agoraphobia to reduce his world to a handful of rooms and an excellent smaller set of rituals across the four novels. The H9N3 virus dismantles the broader society around him. The series offers what the genre guarantees such as pace, chance and a mounting body count. However, under that surface runs a sustained inquiry into freedom, responsibility, mortality and meaning which collapses. The novels sharpen the stakes into possible points of success in the novels.

Hunt published the tetralogy much less than two years before COVID-19 arrived and devastated everyone. The tale was written as a speculation for the reason that has been examined as one of the examples of the wider post-pandemic reassessment via which critics have started asking what pre-2020 fiction expected approximately the disaster that was observed (Constantinesco, 2022; Peters, 2020). Existentialism fits this theme due to the fact that subculture turned into a constructed one for precisely those pandemic situations. What occurs to a life as soon as the systems that gave it meaning fall apart. It takes away the authorities, gets rid of the day-to-day habits that organise a lifestyle, and reduces the best life state to survival, and the existential predicament stops being in a study room. A catastrophe like this strips away what Heidegger referred to as *das Man*, the nameless “they” whose conventions in most cases steer behaviour (Heidegger, 1962). It forces a reckoning with finitude due to the fact that death has moved closer, and a virulent disease detached to benefit confronts its survivors with the absurd life, as Camus felt in *The Plague* (Camus, 2000a). Talbot's precise phobia makes him an unusual character to look at this case. His worry operates in Sartrean terms as terrible religion and works as a difficult refusal of freedom and obligation portrayed as a severe warning (Sartre, 2003). The outbreak plays a cruel reversal. The globe turns into an unstable one as he usually insisted it was. That vindication turns out to be nugatory due to the fact surviving and calls for the things his life he had spent years teaching him to keep away from going outside, performing without reality and relying on other people.

Despite this, Hunt's tetralogy has drawn almost no scholarly attention. That silence says more about the long-standing preference for “literary genre” fiction than it does about the books themselves. This article works to close such gaps in the study of Hunt's tetralogy. It posits a central question; how can the *Agora Virus Tetralogy* be delineated through existentialism having pandemic as its plot? Indeed, several questions may evolve from it. How do the characters confront absurdity, freedom and death? or It may be; does the disintegration of civil order function as a testing ground for actual lifestyles? Or where does bad faith display itself and how does the way come apart? or What weight do relationships among survivors carry as soon as the regular helps networks are gone? To answer these questions, two things are important. It shows that Hunt's novels are doing genuine philosophical work and it shows that existentialist criticism still has its influence on fiction being written and being read today.

Methodology

The primary methodology for the study is the four Agora Virus novels *Phobia* (2017), *Anxiety* (2017), *Strain* (2017), and *Unstable* (2018). They are read as a single continuous narrative instead of separate works. Hunt's wonder accumulates throughout the series. Talbot's arc creates a constrained feel in all scenes because germophobia delivered in *Phobia*



gives a philosophical idea that arrives as soon as the community scenes of strain and unstable situations arrive. Hunt's apocalyptic fiction falls outside the present scope. The figures from the existentialist lifestyle deliver the interpretive vocabulary to the fiction and every work is delivered within the text and it requires uniformity throughout the entire collection. Sartre contributes the concepts of freedom, fact, horrific faith and duty advanced in 'Being' and 'Nothingness' and 'Existentialism'. It is humanism and these terms do most of the work of reading the characters of the novel and weighing the choices forced on the other characters. The ideologies of Sartre confirm it. (Sartre, 2003, 2007) Camus works confirm that the absurd and its answering posture of riot are explored in the works *The Myth of Sisyphus* and *The Rebel* and dramatised at once in *The Plague*. It is useful for expertise how humans maintain meaning as soon as disaster has made the attempt appear futile in every part of life (Camus, 2000a, 2000b, 2001). Heidegger's *Being and Time* introduces *Dasein*, *das Man*, and *being-toward-death*, which offers a way to read time and authenticity across the select Agoro virus novels. (Heidegger, 1962)

Kierkegaard's account of anxiety and of the unmarried self has been set in opposition to the organization (Kierkegaard, 1980a, 1980b) and Simon de Beauvoir's ethics of ambiguity has its interest in a lifestyle lived amongst others (de Beauvoir, 1976, 2011; Holveck, 1999) is spherical out the framework. So, Beauvoir guards towards a place of freedom so solitary that it forgets special people exist. Hence, the study is methodologically combined with close reading and phenomenological interpretation rather than the usual operating method of inner existentialist grievance. The close study tracks the small topics as a choice of everyday life and the shape of a scene that has the rhythm of a continued line of dialogue. The phenomenological interpretation of the study asks what type of existential pressure it delivers on treating a person whose passages are enactments of philosophical mind in choice to the illustrations tacked onto a plot. A keen attention makes a special situation of the moments even as the characters face lack of lifestyles, live under pressure, or talk to themselves to have personal freedom. The unmarried concept did not govern the tetralogy uniformly. The text deploys it wherever it fits. Sartrean terrible religion surfaces within the setting out of the movement of Phobia. Camus' absurdity emerges as soon as the catastrophe's sheer arbitrariness becomes seen in the novels. Heideggerian being-toward-dying takes hold as quickly as mortality settles in as a consistent presence in the novels. Beauvoir's ethics of shared existence seems to evolve as quickly as the network starts off to take shape in the novels *Strain* and *Unstable*. (Table 1) The purpose at some stage in is interpretation as opposed to assessment. It is no longer whether or not Hunt writes properly but what a sustained existentialist study uncovers in the novels and in preserving the ideology of existentialism with the claim on the existing state.

Absurdist Inversion and the Collapse of Terrible Religion

An absurdist inversion places its influence on the structural centre of the tetralogy. In the novel *Phobia*, Talbot's agoraphobia capabilities are an entire equipment of bad religion. It fosters the compulsive cleaning, the avoidance and the self-imposed confinement and a refusal to work proceeds with the ache that includes no responsibility for a lifestyle (Sartre, 2003). His marriage to Kate has already collapsed and his relationship along with his daughter Ella has long gone with it. The outbreak then turns the means of his contamination. The germophobia that cost him his own family seems to be justified. The world genuinely is contaminated and it is genuinely attempting to kill him and having been proper changes nothing in his favour. Whatever the disaster, it vindicates his fear and makes hiding not



possible due to the fact that surviving requires him to go outdoors. It acts without any guarantee of the final results and relies on people he has spent years averting.

The vindication and duty arrive together within the same breath and what follows is not a mere conversion. However, it is an uneven, two-step procedure for every gain in the direction of authenticity that has been shadowed with the aid of a slide again into antique habits. Hence, Talbot's motion out of isolation and a closer look at the self can certainly call his very own and it follows the course of Heidegger ideas such as to confront one's private finitude which is gripped by the anonymous crowd (Heidegger, 1962). Before the virus, his inflexible day-by-day rituals marked time without opening onto any real destiny of the character. An inauthentic existence becomes an impact and a going nowhere. The pandemic dissolves false safety. Every day becomes really unsure and forces the characters to commit to opportunities whose outcomes no one can assure.

Hunt registers this shift in addition to the theme. The cramped and repetitive rhythm of Talbot's early confinement offers a faster and more contingent narrative movement once the outbreak takes hold. When it arrives, the loss of life does so and at random by sparing no one primarily based mostly on likes and dislikes, good or bad, hard or innocent and not even the protagonists. By refusing to shield the reader from this randomness, Hunt develops Heidegger's imperative ideas in the novels and death is the only possibility that no person can take over and it isolates absolutely everyone within a life in the end. The novels again and again confront their characters with alternatives that admit no applicable answer to whom to save, whom to kill, and the way a protracted responsibility can be stretched as quickly as civilisation has ended. In doing so, they use Sartrean obligation under the most unforgiving situations plausible (Sartre, 2003) in the novels. With no authorities left to defer to, the characters cannot hide behind necessity or convention. The choice belongs completely to them and so does its consequence. Hunt returns repeatedly to survivors haunted by the aid of their own moves by turning over whether or not a killing was really vital or whether it revealed something about them they could instead no longer have observed a self-thinking that fits exactly to the unconventional obligation Sartre describes. The unfolding nature of responses throughout the survivor centred is itself an instructive one. The frank predation practised through the antagonists and the numerous tries at authoritarian stage produces greater awkward negotiated cooperation within Talbot's personal corporation to affirm the existentialist factor that freedom includes the liberty to select badly to dominate other humans or to give up one's personal autonomy. Instead of understanding that others are precisely as free as one, it dominates for survival.

Solidarity and Revolt against the Absurd

Where death isolates, the survivor community works in the opposite direction and enacts something close to Camus's account of solidarity as the answer to absurdity (Camus, 2000a, 2000b). The H9N3 virus kills indiscriminately all. It is a vaccine in no way materialises and rebuilding seems impossible given its damage. The old ethical and religious certainties bend and in places they spoil outright. Even so, the characters do no longer surrender to despair. They form attachments and defend the inclined and convey together a makeshift structure of meaning out of nothing greater than their commitments to each one of a kind, persistence without phantasm that captures Camus's sense of rebellion. The stubborn creation of a universe gives no praise and ensures no rescue. The smaller scenes deliver this argument in nice moments whilst a survivor marks a minor victory, along with Talbot deciding to guard people who can offer him nothing in return. Meaning is made here in



complete view of the absurd. As a result, relationships grow to be the area wherein existential disaster is concurrently sharpened and in part repaired and depend on others. However, it also opens onto the sort of shared existence that Beauvoir defined in which one wills the freedom of others along with one's own (de Beauvoir, 1976; Holveck, 1999).

Table – 1: Mapping of Existentialist Concepts across the Agora Virus Tetralogy

Concept (thinker)	Primary novel(s)	Textual manifestation
Bad faith (Sartre)	<i>Phobia</i>	Talbot's agoraphobic rituals as flight from freedom and responsibility
The Absurd / Revolt (Camus)	<i>Anxiety, Strain</i>	Arbitrary contagion; persistence and solidarity without hope
Being-toward-death (Heidegger)	<i>Strain, Unstable</i>	Constant proximity of death; shift from inauthentic to authentic temporality
Responsibility in extremis (Sartre)	<i>Strain, Unstable</i>	Impossible choices about violence, killing, and rescue
Intersubjectivity (Beauvoir)	<i>Unstable</i>	Community formation: wishing the freedom of others

This study offers three key lessons. The first concerns popular fiction on its own terms. These stories show that being easy to read does not mean being simple. It weaves complex ideas into a fast-paced, well-structured narrative may require even greater skill. Hunt's clear writing style, his use of free indirect discourse and his commitment to the conventions of survival fiction do not push philosophy into the background instead, they bring it to life. The genre's predominant subject matter and the decisions made below strain join evidently with existentialist thoughts by developing a strong link among form and meaning, instead of a struggle. The readers who might generally avoid works like *Being and Nothingness* (Sartre, 2003) or *Being and Time* (Heidegger, 1962) can explore these ideas through Talbot's struggles.

This reflects a much broader sample in style fiction, where a character's inner battle can give a detailed Sartrean argument to existence, even when the unconventional makes no explicit philosophical claims (Guerra, 2017). In this manner, popular fiction can now and again speak philosophical insights more successfully than philosophy itself by showing someone seeking to stay authentically in place of genuinely explaining what authenticity is. A second lesson concerns existentialist criticism itself. Although this tradition has lost some of its academic prominence with the rise of structuralism, poststructuralism, and new materialisms, its central questions about freedom, authenticity, mortality, and meaning remain important. By showing how these ideas continue to resonate in contemporary texts reinforces the view that existentialism remains a useful critical tool rather than a relic of the past. The genre itself makes this case particularly well. At the narrative level, apocalyptic fiction displays the very conditions that helped for the rise to existentialism. It portrays profound uncertainty, the collapse of familiar realities and an unavoidable consciousness of demise. Existentialist ideology developed in the shadow of 20th-century catastrophes, whilst



existential fiction continues to return to catastrophe as a critical theme. The connection between the two is a consequence more than a coincidence. A third lesson relates directly to the moment in which this article is being read. In the aftermath of COVID-19, the readers approach pandemic fiction differently than they once did. Those who saw lockdowns, witnessed institutional disasters spread in real time, and have ended up more aware of their non-public mortality bring an angle to those texts that readers earlier than 2020 could not have shared in the same way (Constantinesco, 2022; Giovanelli, 2023; Peters, 2020, 2022). The dilemmas going through Hunt's characters decide to consider authorities by balancing man or woman freedom with public protection and recognizing their duties in the direction of strangers. The tetralogy seems less like an imagined scenario and more like a global unsettling end to our own kind and has realism taking the readers to the way of wonder.

The existential ideas developed for confronting Hunt's fictional plague also offer many ways to understand the experience of the real pandemic and create a connection with other critics who have drawn in discussions of Camus's writings during COVID-19 (Estok, 2021). It has been read along with Camus's *The Plague* (Camus, 2001), Mandel's *Station Eleven* (Mandel, 2014), and Ma's *Severance* (Ma, 2018), as well as the recent scholarship on the post-apocalyptic novel (De Cristofaro, 2019), the outbreak narrative (Wald, 2008), and the broader philosophy of pandemics (Žižek, 2020). This sort of interpretation opens up a wider conversation for which the genre is now particularly well suited to Hunt's Tetralogy. There are several obstacles that deserve acknowledgement in the study. By treating the existentialist culture as a beneficial interpretive framework, the critique leaves many of its assumptions in an unexamined mode. Feminist, postcolonial, and poststructuralist perspectives should project this framework in approaches that fall beyond the scope of this text. Furthermore, the study does not examine Hunt's existence, authorial intentions, or real readers' responses to the novels by making it difficult to determine whether or not the tetralogy's existential topics deliberately emerged from the author's style. These obstacles do not undermine the critical argument. Rather, they clarify the questions left open and point closer to the promising guidelines for future studies.

Conclusion

Jack Hunt's *Agora Virus Tetralogy* creates true philosophical ideals rather than simply borrowing philosophical surroundings for the environment. This article has done a close analysis and phenomenological interpretation of the work presented. The study investigates Talbot's movement from agoraphobic bad faith toward a self he can finally call his own, as in Heidegger's account of being-toward-death. It also works like the sequence of impossible choices forced on the survivors, like the stages of Sartrean responsibility and the community that eventually forms lives out of something close to Camus's solidarity and revolt against the absurd. The absurdist inversion at the centre of the narrative holds all three dimensions together in the study. A protagonist proves right to discover that being right changes nothing and he must still walk out into a world as dangerous as his worst imaginations. The division between "genre" and "serious" fiction stops looking like a true line once a book thinks this hard about how to live. It looks more like a habit people picked up, and not one you can really defend. Hunt's novels show that existentialism still has something to say. It speaks to literature and it speaks to the lives people live while reading. Everyone must die. The universe promises no meaning. Freedom stays with us whether we want it or not. So, the *Agora Virus* series ask the oldest existentialist question in the plainest way: How should a person live? It is easy to see where this question goes next. It can go outward, into the wider



field of pandemic and apocalyptic writing. It can go sideways, into feminist, postcolonial, and trauma-centred readings that this study has left out on purpose. Or it can go back to Hunt's other works to see how these four novels fit into the longer arc of what he has written.

References

- [1] Camus, Albert. *The Myth of Sisyphus*. Translated by Justin O'Brien, Penguin, 2000.
- [2] Camus, Albert. *The Rebel*. Translated by Anthony Bower, Penguin, 2000.
- [3] Camus, Albert. *The Plague*. Translated by Stuart Gilbert, Penguin, 2001.
- [4] Constantinesco, Thomas. "American Literary Criticism in a Time of Pandemic." *American Literary History*, vol. 34, no. 1, 2022, pp. 67–76. <https://doi.org/10.1093/alh/ajab099>
- [5] de Beauvoir, Simone. *The Ethics of Ambiguity*. Translated by Bernard Frechtman, Citadel Press, 1976.
- [6] de Beauvoir, Simone. *The Second Sex*. Translated by Constance Borde and Sheila Malovany-Chevallier, Vintage, 2011.
- [7] De Cristofaro, Diletta. *The Contemporary Post-Apocalyptic Novel: Critical Temporalities and the End Times*. Bloomsbury, 2019.
- [8] Estok, Simon C. "Camus, Roth, COVID-19: The Dangers of Forgetting." *Neohelicon*, vol. 48, no. 2, 2021, pp. 503–14.
- [9] Giovanelli, Marcello. "Reading the Lockdown: Responding to Covid Poetry." *Journal of Poetry Therapy*, vol. 36, no. 3, 2023, pp. 210–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08893675.2022.2155078>
- [10] Guerra, Erwin. "'Sot's Skull Subsiding, Sweet Nothingness Betide Me': Suttree and Sartrean Bad Faith." *Humanities*, vol. 6, no. 2, 2017, Article 38. <https://doi.org/10.3390/h6020038>
- [11] Heidegger, Martin. *Being and Time*. Translated by John Macquarrie and Edward Robinson, Blackwell, 1962.
- [12] Holveck, Eleanore. "The Blood of Others: A Novel Approach to the Ethics of Ambiguity." *Hypatia*, vol. 14, no. 4, 1999, pp. 3–17. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1527-2001.1999.tb01249.x>
- [13] Hunt, Jack. *Anxiety*. Direct Response Publishing, 2017. Agora Virus, Book 2.
- [14] Hunt, Jack. *Phobia*. Direct Response Publishing, 2017. Agora Virus, Book 1.
- [15] Hunt, Jack. *Strain*. Direct Response Publishing, 2017. Agora Virus, Book 3.
- [16] Hunt, Jack. *Unstable*. Direct Response Publishing, 2018. Agora Virus, Book 4.
- [17] Kierkegaard, Søren. *The Concept of Anxiety*. Translated by Reidar Thomte, Princeton University Press, 1980.
- [18] Kierkegaard, Søren. *The Sickness unto Death*. Translated by Howard V. Hong and Edna H. Hong, Princeton University Press, 1980.
- [19] Ma, Ling. *Severance*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2018.
- [20] Mandel, Emily St. John. *Station Eleven*. Picador, 2014.
- [21] Parker, Emily Anne. "Singularity in Beauvoir's *The Ethics of Ambiguity*." *The Southern Journal of Philosophy*, vol. 53, no. 1, 2015, pp. 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sjp.12093>
- [22] Peters, Michael A. "Love and Social Distancing in the Time of Covid-19: The Philosophy and Literature of Pandemics." *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, vol. 53, no. 8, 2020, pp. 755–59. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2020.1750091>



- [23] Peters, Michael A. “The Plague: Human Resilience and the Collective Response to Catastrophe.” *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, vol. 54, no. 1, 2022, pp. 1–4.
- [24] Sartre, Jean-Paul. *Being and Nothingness: An Essay on Phenomenological Ontology*. Translated by Hazel E. Barnes, Routledge, 2003.
- [25] Sartre, Jean-Paul. *Existentialism Is a Humanism*. Translated by Carol Macomber, Yale University Press, 2007.
- [26] Wald, Priscilla. *Contagious: Cultures, Carriers, and the Outbreak Narrative*. Duke University Press, 2008.
- [27] Žižek, Slavoj. *Pandemic! COVID-19 Shakes the World*. OR Books, 2020.

Author (s) Contribution Statement: Nil

Author (s) Acknowledgement: Nil

Author (s) Declaration: I declare that there is no competing interest in the content and authorship of this scholarly work.



The content of the article is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution4.0 International License.